

**Notice of Allowability**

Application No.

10/635,775

Examiner

Nguyen T Ha

Applicant(s)

PAUL ET AL.

Art Unit

2831

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 9/23/2004.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 52-113.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 07 August 2003 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some\*    c) ☐ None    of the:
    1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
  6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
    - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
      - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
    - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment                               |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit<br>of Biological Material          | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance   |
|   | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____.   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

1. Claims 52-113 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 52-63 and 85-92, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a charge storage device comprising a charge storage cell having the volumetric FOM (Figure of Merit) of the device being greater than about 3.2 Watts/cm<sup>3</sup> or greater than about 35 Watts/cm<sup>3</sup>, and the maximum operating voltage of the cell being less than about 4 Volts.

With respect to claims 64-73, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a charge storage device comprising a charge storage cell including: a first electrode having a first layer including a carbon having a surface area greater than 400 m<sup>2</sup>/gram, a second electrode having a second layer including a carbon having a surface area greater than 400 m<sup>2</sup>/gram opposed and spaced apart within a sealed package, wherein the volumetric FOM (Figure of Merit) of the device being greater than about 1.1 Watts/cm<sup>3</sup> and the maximum operating voltage of the cell being less than about 4 Volts.

With respect to claims 74-84 and 102-113, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a charge storage device comprising a charge storage cell having the gravimetric power maximum of the device being greater than about 12.5 Watts/gram or greater than about 2.1 Watts/gram, and the maximum operating voltage of the cell being less than about 4 Volts.

With respect to claims 93-101, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a charge storage device comprising a charge storage cell including: a first electrode having a first layer including a carbon having a surface area greater than  $400 \text{ m}^2/\text{gram}$ , a second electrode having a second layer including a carbon having a surface area greater than  $400 \text{ m}^2/\text{gram}$  opposed and spaced apart within a sealed package, wherein the gravimetric power maximum of the device being greater than about 4.8 Watts/gram and the maximum operating voltage of the cell being less than about 4 Volts.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Nguyen T. Ha**  
**October 19, 2004**

 11/1/04  
DEAN A. REICHARD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800